

Districts Below 80% in QSAC Areas - Scores and Rationale

DISTRICTS NOT CERTIFIED

NJQSAC Scores

	County	District	NJQSAC Review	Instruction & Program (I&P)	Fiscal Management	Governance	Operations	Personnel	Rationale for Scores Below 80%
1	Burlington	Edgewater Park	Interim	79%	100%	100%	100%	100%	I&P: This score is 2 points higher than the initial placement in February 2018. Indicator 1-7 did not receive full points based on student achievement.
2	Camden	Camden City	Interim	23%	34%	82%	80%	80%	I&P: This score is 4 points lower from the Interim score from October 2017. Missed indicators are: 1-7, 12,13,14,15,16,17,and 18. Four points for Indicator 12 assessing progress for mastering the NJCCCS were not awarded in this review. The curricula for Physical education and Visual and Performing Arts are still being developed resulting in the loss of all points for curricula.
3									Fiscal: This score is 18 points lower than the October 2017 interim score. Missed indicators are :1,2,3,4,5, 6 (a),(c),(d), 9(a),(b),(c),10(a),(b),(c),(d),(e). Board Secretary reports are not reconciled with bank statements; the Standard Operating Procedures Manual-Budget and Financial Internal controls are still being update; there is no evidence of cash flow statements being prepared monthly; CAFR and AMR reports were submitted late; CAP for audit findings was not successfully implemented in 2017-2018 leading to repeat audit findings. Boiler and fire certifications are not 100% due to violations that are still being abated; signed facilities checklists were not filed for the following schools: Cramer, Bonsall Annex, CHS, Dudley, Sharp, and Wiggins; the budget calendar was not adequate and was not shared with the board. There was no evidence of transfers. The district has not provided board secretary or treasurer reports for the entire year. Additionally, an appropriation's report could not be provided.
	Camden	Magnolia	Interim	48%	100%	100%	100%	100%	I&P: This score is 4 points lower than the initial placement score in May 2018. Indicators 1-7 were not awarded based on student achievement. Indicators 8-15 were not awarded points because not all curricula is aligned to NJSLs.

Yellow highlight: QSAC area below 80%

Districts Below 80% in QSAC Areas - Scores and Rationale

	County	District	NJQSAC Review	Instruction & Program (I&P)	Fiscal Management	Governance	Operations	Personnel	Rationale for Scores Below 80%
4	Cumberland	Bridgeton	Interim	74%	96%	84%	95%	100%	I&P: This score is 9 points higher than the previous interim score in February 2018. Indicators 1-7 were not awarded full points based on student performance.
5	Cumberland	Upper Deerfield Township	Interim	77%	100%	100%	100%	100%	I&P: This score is 7 points higher than the previous interim score in May 2018. Indicators 1-7 were not awarded full points based on student achievement.
6	Cumberland	Vineland Public Schools	Interim	77%	100%	100%	100%	100%	I&P: The district score 7 points higher than the interim review in May 2018. Indicators 1-7 did not achieve full points based on student achievement.
7	Monmouth	Asbury park	Interim	69%	98%	86%	95%	80%	I&P: This score is 49 points higher from the initial score in June 2017. Missed indicators were 1-7. Points were not awarded based on student achievement. The Governance score is 21 points higher than the initial score. Indicators 2b, 13, and 14 were missed. Asbury Park did not evaluate the new superintendent and therefore did not receive 6 points and they were late in filing the financial and personal/relative disclosure statements and not having ethics training as required. The district does not provide a certified library media specialist access to all students.
8	Salem	Penns Grove-Carneys Point	Interim	71%	98%	100%	100%	100%	I&P: This score is 4 points higher than the interim score in July 2015. Indicators 1-7 did not achieve full points based on student achievement.
9									
10									
11									

Yellow highlight: QSAC area below 80%